

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,674	SOTOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irene Marx	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Irene Marx.
 (2) Randall Jackson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 1 was indicated as allowable with minor editorial changes. Claims 3 and 4 were indicated as not allowable in their present form in the absence of concentration and functional limitations, for example.. Applicant preferred to receive an action on the case.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)